

Gold Price Dips Are Transitory

BY SPROTT MONEY

Much has been made about The Fed's extensive use of the word "transitory"

Basel III and gold - Central bankers locking the fire exits.

BY BULLIONBOYZ020

To a man with a hammer, everything looks like a nail, said Mark Twain.

US Dollar Will Be Replaced Just Like the Peso, Pound Sterling and the French Franc

BY SILVERBULLIONSG


Reserve currency status does not last forever.

Fauci Emails Reveal Damage Control Scramble After ZeroHedge Spotlights Man-Made COVID-19 Theory


BY TYLER DURDEN

TUESDAY, JUN 01, 2021 - 07:22 PM

In January, 2020, when the World Health Organization insisted that COVID-19 wasn't transmissible between humans, and Dr. Anthony Fauci said that the risk to the American public from the virus was "low," officials at the National Institutes of Health were scrambling to perform damage control after a controversial - and now withdrawn - study suggested that there were HIV-like "insertions" included in SARS-CoV-2.



The study, "Uncanny similarity of unique inserts on the 2019-nCoV spike protein to HIV-1 gp120 and Gag," posited that segments of the virus's RNA had no relation to other coronaviruses such as SARS, and instead appeared to be closer to HIV.



Specifically: To further investigate if these inserts are present in any other corona virus, we performed a multiple sequence alignment of the spike glycoprotein amino acid sequences of all available coronaviruses (n=55) [refer Table S, File 1] in NCBI refseq (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) this includes one sequence of 2019-nCoV[Fig. S1]. We found that these 4 insertions [inserts 1, 2, 3 and 4] are unique to 2019-nCoV and are not present in other coronaviruses analyzed.

We then translated the aligned genome and found that these inserts are present in all Wuhan 2019-nCoV viruses except the 2019-nCoV virus of Bat as a host [Fig. S4]. Intrigued by the 4 highly conserved inserts unique to 2019-nCoV we wanted to understand their origin. For this purpose, we used the 2019-nCoV local alignment with each insert as query against all virus genomes and considered hits with 100% sequence coverage. Surprisingly, each of the four inserts aligned with short segments of the Human immunodeficiency Virus-1 (HIV-1) proteins.

The now-withdrawn paper piqued the interest of several journalists, including Zero Hedge (whose account Twitter banned one day after we updated our coverage of the article, claiming we "doxed" a Chinese scientist in an earlier report).

Thanks to a recent Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for Fauci's emails, we now know that the National Institutes of Health was not only aware of the Indian report, but were actively discussing how to handle it.

A January 31 email from AFP's Issam Ahmed asks NIH immunologist Dr. Barney Graham for comment: "I was told by a contact you may be willing to give an opinion of this paper that has just gone live. It suggests the new Coronavirus has four inserts similar to HIV-1 and this is not a coincidence," reads the email.

From: Issam AHMED <Issam.AHMED@afp.com>
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2020 5:27 PM
To: Dr. Graham, Barney (NIH/VRIC) [E]
Subject: Seeking comment on Indian paper about new Coronavirus

Dear Dr Graham,

I'm a science journalist with news agency Agence France-Presse writing with a request - apologies for reaching out on a Friday evening! I was told by a contact you may be willing to give an opinion on this paper that has just gone live https://www.bionix.org/content/333110/2020.01.30-32/2773c1b4d.pdf?it suggests the new Coronavirus has four inserts similar to HIV-1 and this is not a coincidence.

Thanks you very much, if you are able.

Issam Ahmed
Issam AHMED
Health, Science and Environment Correspondent
1900 K St. NW - 20005, Washington
Tel: (202) 414-0021

Graham immediately forwards the correspondence to the Office of Communications and Government Relations (OCGR), saying "This is one we don't want to answer without high-level input, but wanted you to know about the rising controversy."

From: Graham, Barney (NIH/VRIC) [E]
Sent: Saturday, February 1, 2020 2:11 PM
To: Hartz, Nissa (NIH/VRIC) [E]; Routh, Jennifer (NIH/NIAD) [E]
Cc: Marston, Hilary (NIH/NIAD) [E]; Mascola, John (NIH/VRIC) [E]
Subject: FW: Seeking comment on Indian paper about new Coronavirus

Hi Nissa and Jen,

This is one we don't want to answer without high-level input, but wanted you to know about the rising controversy.

BG

Two days later, Jennifer Routh OCGR replies, telling Graham: "OCGR is going to send a note to the reporter to decline, noting that the paper is not peer-reviewed. Please let us know if you receive similar requests."

From: Routh, Jennifer (NIH/NIAD) [E]
Sent: Sunday, February 2, 2020 10:35 AM
To: Graham, Barney (NIH/VRIC) [E]; Hartz, Nissa (NIH/VRIC) [E]
Cc: Marston, Hilary (NIH/NIAD) [E]; Mascola, John (NIH/VRIC) [E]; Sikes, Courtney (NIH/NIAD) [E]; Stovers, Kathy (NIH/NIAD) [E]
Subject: RE: Seeking comment on Indian paper about new Coronavirus

Hi Barney -

We consulted with HHS and ASF. OCGR is going to send a note to the reporter to decline, noting that the paper is not peer-reviewed. Please let us know if you receive similar requests.

Thanks, Jen

Jennifer Routh [E]
News and Science Writing Branch
Office of Communications and Government Relations
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAD)
NIH/NIHS
31 Center Drive Room 7A17C
Bethesda, MD 20892
Direct: [REDACTED]

That same Sunday morning, Fauci is looped in - with Sir Jeremy Farrar forwarding Zero Hedge's article after mentioning how World Health Organization Director Tedros Adhanom and the organization's cabinet chief were in 'conclave' - ostensibly on how to manage the narrative - noting "If they do prevaricate [bullshit the public], I would appreciate a call with you later tonight or tomorrow to think how we might take forward."

"Do you have a minute for a quick call?" Fauci replies, after having called the Indian paper "really outlandish."

Of course, the Indian paper was quickly withdrawn by its authors, and the notion that COVID-19 could have been man-made was rendered radioactive - for a while.



In April of last year, Dr Luc Montagnier - winner of the Nobel Prize for Medicine in 2008 for "discovering" HIV as the cause of the AIDS epidemic - claimed that SARS-CoV-2 is a manipulated virus that was accidentally released from a laboratory in Wuhan, China.

"With my colleague, bio-mathematician Jean-Claude Perez, we carefully analyzed the description of the genome of this RNA virus," explains Luc Montagnier, interviewed by Dr Jean-François Lemoine for the daily podcast at Pourquoi Docteur, adding that others have already explored this avenue:

Indian researchers have already tried to publish the results of the analyses that showed that this coronavirus genome contained sequences of another virus, ... the HIV virus (AIDS virus), but they were forced to withdraw their findings as the pressure from the mainstream was too great.

The plot thickened further as a study by Chinese scientists published in May 2020 found that the novel coronavirus uses the same strategy to evade attack from the human immune system as HIV.

Then, last June, former MI-6 head Sir Richard Dearlove said he believes COVID-19 is a manmade virus which contains "inserted" sections that accidentally escaped from a Chinese laboratory, according to The Telegraph.

But Sir Richard, 75, pointed to a scientific paper published this week by a Norwegian-British research team who claim to have discovered clues within Covid-19's genetic sequence suggesting key elements were "inserted" and may not have evolved naturally.

Entitled "A Reconstructed Historical Aetiology of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike", the new study, seen by The Telegraph, suggests the virus is "remarkably well-adapted virus for human co-existence" and is likely to be the result of a Wuhan lab experiment to produce "chimeric viruses of high potency".

The paper concludes: "Henceforth, those who would maintain that the Covid-19 pandemic arose from zoonotic transfer need to explain precisely why this more parsimonious account is wrong before asserting that their evidence is persuasive, most especially when, as we also show, there are puzzling errors in their use of evidence." - The Telegraph

The Australian government canceled further development of a COVID-19 vaccine in December 2020 after several trial participants had false positive tests for HIV.



More recently, two European virologists say they've found genetic "fingerprints" which prove COVID-19 was man made.

British professor Angus Dalglish - best known for creating the world's first 'HIV vaccine', and Norwegian virologist Dr. Birger Sørensen - chair of pharmaceutical company, Immunor, who has published 31 peer-reviewed papers and holds several patents, wrote that while analyzing virus samples last year, the pair discovered "unique fingerprints" in the form of "six inserts" created through gain-of-function research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology in China.

They also conclude that "SARS-Coronavirus-2 has "no credible natural ancestor" and that it is "beyond reasonable doubt" that the virus was created via "laboratory manipulation."

Conclusion
We have deduced the internal logic of published research which resulted in the exact functionalities of SARS-Coronavirus-2, including the convergence of agreement from different sources, the timings of the stages of the research and the development of documented capabilities by named institutions and individuals. These meet the criteria of meaningfulness, agent and place in this reconstructed historical aetiology to produce sufficient confidence in the account to reverse the burden of proof. Furthermore, a basic biochemical expectation can be added to this. A natural virus pandemic would be expected to mutate gradually and become more infectious but less pathogenic which is what many expected with the COVID-19 pandemic but which does not appear to have happened. The implication of our historical reconstruction, we posit now beyond reasonable doubt, of the purposefully manipulated chimeric virus SARS-CoV-2 makes it imperative to reconsider what types of gain of function experiments it is morally acceptable to undertake. Because of wide social impact, these decisions cannot be left to research scientists alone.

We can only imagine what the NIH and Fauci are saying about this theory now.

424,102 1,618

NEVER MISS THE NEWS THAT MATTERS MOST. ZEROHEDGE DIRECTLY TO YOUR INBOX. Receive a daily recap featuring a curated list of must-read stories. Email Subscribe

SHOW COMMENTS